



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,664	12/16/2003	Vladimir M. Kabakov		2852

7590 03/11/2005  
Vladimir M. Kabakov  
4600 S. Four Mile Run Drive, Apt, 1201  
Arlington, VA 22204

EXAMINER

BASINGER, SHERMAN D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3617

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

10/ 735, 664

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER


20050307

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Commissioner for Patents

1. The reply filed on January 21, 2005 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the amendment did not comply with the revised amendment practice effective July 30, 2003 (see below). See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).
2. The revised amendment practice effective July 30, 2003 requires each section of an amendment document to be on a separate sheet. Applicant included the claim amendments with the specification amendments. Applicant replaced pages 7 and 21 of the specification. Page 21 of the specification included part of claim 16 and claims 17-20; therefore, this page should have been under the section of the claim amendments.
3. A complete listing of all of the claims in the application should have been submitted when claims 16 and 19 were amended. Further, a parenthetical expression for each claim is needed. The seven parenthetical expressions are: original, currently amended, canceled, withdrawn, new, previously presented and new. Each parenthetical expression should follow the claim number and should be in parentheses.
4. A clean version of the replacement paragraphs or sections of the specification, or a clean version of the claims amended should not be submitted. Applicant submitted clean versions of the specification page amended and clean versions of the claims amended.
5. The response filed February 14, 2005 failed to rectify the above problems.

  
Sherman D. Basinger  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 3617  
3/1/05